March 20, 2020

The Hon. Christopher A. Santoro
Chief Immigration Judge
Office of the Chief Immigration Judge
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2500
Falls Church, VA 22041

Via Email

Dear Chief Immigration Judge Santoro:

We are law school professors who teach immigration clinics that provide pro bono representation in immigration courts around the country. We write to urge you to immediately develop and implement proactive plans for the prevention and management of COVID-19 at all United States immigration courts. In this letter, we offer several recommendations for such protective measures.

1. Order Release of Individuals Held in Detention and Temporarily Close the Immigration Courts

Immigration courts are crowded spaces that pose a serious risk of COVID-19 contagion. Proceeding with any immigration cases at this time exposes judges, respondents, their attorneys, ICE attorneys, law students, witnesses, correctional officers, and court staff to a risk of infection. An immigration attorney in Atlanta recently tested positive a day after appearing in court, and a Denver immigration judge has symptoms of COVID-19.¹ Many immigration judges who fear contracting and spreading the disease have taken matters into their own hands by staying home.² Temporarily closing all of the immigration courts is the best way for EOIR to contain the spread of COVID-19. The National Association of Immigration Judges, American Immigration Lawyers Association, and ICE Professionals Union have all called for the closure of immigration courts.³

For individuals in immigration detention, however, closing the courts would prolong their detention, where they are highly vulnerable to infection due to close quarters, poor ventilation,

² Id.
and limited access to health care. Contagious diseases, such as measles,\(^4\) mumps,\(^5\) chicken pox,\(^6\) influenza,\(^7\) and tuberculosis\(^8\) have all spread among individuals in immigration detention. Recently, three children died of flu in detention.\(^9\) There have been nine deaths already in immigration custody since October 2019, including two just this month.\(^10\) In December 2019, Congress opened an investigation into the medical care provided inside detention facilities.\(^11\) Due to the grave threat that COVID-19 presents to the health and safety of detained individuals, thousands of medical professionals have called for their immediate release.\(^12\) Two ICE officers recently tested positive for COVID-19 at facilities in different states, creating a risk of widespread infection within those facilities and nearby areas.\(^13\)

In order to protect everyone’s health, EOIR should order the release of individuals held in immigration detention without requiring individualized determinations. This measure would help protect both the health and due process rights of detained individuals, while also protecting the health of correctional staff at detention facilities, surrounding communities, and health care providers. For individuals subject to mandatory detention, we urge EOIR to interpret the term “custody” in the Immigration and Nationality Act to include electronic monitoring through the ISAP Program or other alternatives to detention, at least in this emergency situation where detention is life-threatening and disproportionate to the need for confinement, raising serious

---

\(^4\) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Notes from the Field: Measles Outbreak at a United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Facility – Arizona, May-June 2016 (May 26, 2017), https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/66/wr/mm6620a5.htm.


\(^7\) Id.


\(^12\) Open Letter from Medical Professionals Regarding COVID-19, https://docs.google.com/document/d/1eNyNmy-6220jVlLFSwqypITPK0eAt5yLgSkS_7_0v8/edit.


Other courts, prosecutors’ offices, and jails have already issued policies and taken active steps to release detained populations to limit COVID-19 contagion. For example, over 200 people have been released from Ohio’s Cuyahoga Jail, 600 people have been released by the Los Angeles County Sheriff, and 120 people have been released by the Washington County Jail in Oregon. In Alameda County, California, the Sheriff’s Office released 67 “nonviolent offenders” on their own recognizance and another 247 people with electronic monitoring or other forms of supervised release. Releasing immigration detainees would likewise protect public health and permit courts to close without prolonging detention.

2. **Prioritize Bond Hearings and Grant Subsequent Bond Redetermination Hearings Based on the Changed Circumstances Presented by the COVID-19 Pandemic**

At a minimum, we ask that you ensure that all custody redetermination (bond) hearings are scheduled as a priority to guarantee that individuals eligible for release from detention can secure release as quickly as possible. Currently, detained dockets are proceeding as usual in many immigration courts. We recognize that prioritization of custody hearings will require postponement of master calendar hearings. Given the severity of the COVID-19 situation, however, such action is warranted.

Adapting the courts’ schedule to prioritize bond hearings would be consistent with measures taken by state and county courts around the country to limit their hearings to essential proceedings such as bond. Federal courts are also responding to the pandemic by limiting in-person hearings, including by cancelling oral arguments. Even the U.S. Supreme Court took the extraordinary step of cancelling oral arguments for the month of March.

---


18 *Id.*
We also believe that COVID-19 constitutes a material change in circumstances that allows judges to reconsider bond redeterminations. See 8 C.F.R. 1003.19I (allowing a subsequent bond determination upon showing that the respondent’s circumstances have “changed materially since the prior bond redetermination”). This would allow individuals who were previously denied bond, or who cannot afford to post a bond that was set, to have another opportunity at being released. Under the present circumstances, EOIR should waive the requirement under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(e) that subsequent bond redetermination requests be made in writing and allow such requests to also be made orally in open court or telephonically. Cf. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(b) (allowing initial bond redetermination requests to be “made orally, in writing, or, at the discretion of the Immigration Judge, by telephone”).

Any custody hearing that was cancelled or postponed in the past two weeks should be heard immediately. Any new hearing requests, including reconsideration requests, should be scheduled within three days. For all motions related to custody hearings, EOIR should waive the traditional 10-day period for the government to respond to a motion. If ICE attorneys proffer evidence at a custody hearing scheduled in this compressed time frame, respondents should be given a reasonable opportunity to review and respond to it.

Further, we ask that judges consider release on recognizance, minimal bonds, or electronic monitoring (the ISAP program) to mitigate delays in release caused by obstacles to securing higher bond amounts. See Matter of Aguilar, 24 I&N Dec. at 750 (confirming that “an alien may request a bond hearing ‘at any time’ to ameliorate the conditions under which he or she may be released from DHS custody”) (quoting 8 C.F.R. § 1236.1(d)(1)).

With respect to children in custody, we urge EOIR to immediately cancel all master calendar hearings. These hearings should be reset at a future date when COVID-19 no longer poses a threat to the children during transportation, in detention facilities, and in court.

3. Facilitate Appearances by Counsel and Witnesses with Videoconferencing and Telephone

Given the urgency of the current situation, we suggest using videoconferencing and telephone to handle bond redetermination hearings. While the use of remote appearances for respondents in immigration court raises significant concerns, in this emergency situation, it may unfortunately be the only way for respondents who are held in detention to secure a bond hearing and, thus, their release from the dangers posed by COVID-19 inside detention. The Governor of California, for example, has just ordered all Californians to shelter at home, making remote technology now the only way that attorneys or witnesses may appear in court on behalf of

---

19 Ingrid Eagly, Remote Adjudication in Immigration, 109 Northwestern L. Rev. 933 (2015) (finding that detained respondents who appeared by videoconferencing were more likely to be deported when compared to detained respondents who appeared in person).
vulnerable respondents. Finally, we urge all the courts to allow for video or telephonic appearance of representatives and witnesses without the need to file an advanced motion. The immigration courts in Pearsall, San Antonio, and Adelanto and have already issued standing orders allowing for such telephonic appearances without filing motions (attached). Language-access protections should, of course, be vigorously applied in all proceedings, including those utilizing VTC or telephonic appearances.

4. Temporarily Stop Issuing Removal Orders

Finally, we urge the immigration courts to temporarily stop issuing removal orders. Deportations to other countries risk spreading COVID-19 around the globe and possibly even reintroducing it in places where it has been contained. An individual held in detention who has COVID-19 could infect other individuals on the flight or bus, as well as in the receiving country. Simply taking temperatures prior to boarding is not an effective way to screen for the disease, as infected individuals can be asymptomatic.

ICE also has a history of deporting individuals with contagious diseases without any plans for continuity of care, exacerbating the risk of further contagion. If individuals with COVID-19 are deported to other countries without clear plans for their quarantine and/or treatment, the disease will spread quickly.

Recognizing these risks, some countries such as Guatemala have already stopped accepting deportees, and other countries are likely to follow. EOIR can address this issue proactively by temporarily stopping the issuance of removal orders.

Thank you in advance for your consideration. We ask to be notified of any actions you take regarding our requests. Professors Fatma Marouf (fatma.marouf@law.tamu.edu, 310-431-6693), Elissa Steglich (esteglich@law.utexas.edu, 512-232-1387), and Geoffrey Hoffman (ghoffman@central.uh.edu, 713-743-2094) will serve as our points of contact.

Signed, with institutional affiliation listed for identification purposes only, by the following:

Evangeline G. Abriel, Clinical Professor of Law, Santa Clara University School of Law

Sabrineh Ardalan, Clinical Professor of Law & Director, Harvard Immigration and Refugee Clinical Program, Harvard Law School

---


Lauren Aronson, Associate Clinical Professor, University of Illinois Urbana Champaign

Sehla Ashai, Adjunct Professor, Immigrant Rights Clinic, Texas A&M School of Law

David Baluarte, Associate Dean and Director, Immigrant Rights Clinic, Washington & Lee University School of Law

Caitlin Barry, Assistant Professor of Law & Director, Farmworker Legal Aid Clinic, Villanova University, Charles Widger School of Law

Jon Bauer, Clinical Professor of Law and Director, Asylum and Human Rights Clinic, University of Connecticut School of Law

Kaci Bishop, Clinical Associate Professor of Law, University of North Carolina School of Law

Kristina M Campbell, Professor of Law, UDC David A Clarke School of Law

Benjamin Casper Sanchez, Associate Clinical Professor of Law, and Faculty Director, James H. Binger Center for New Americans, University of Minnesota Law School

Marisa Cianciarulo, Associate Dean for Academic Affairs, Doy & Dee Henley Chair in Law, Chapman University, Dale E. Fowler School of Law

Julie Dahlstrom, Clinical Associate Professor, Boston University School of Law

Ingrid V. Eagly, Professor of Law, UCLA Law School

Richard Frankel, Associate Professor of Law, Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law

Niels W. Frenzen, Co-Director and Clinical Professor, USC Gould School of Law

Lauren Gilbert, Professor of Law, St Thomas University School of Law

Denise Gilman, Clinical Professor of Law, University of Texas School of Law

Lindsey M. Harris, Associate Professor & Co-Director, Immigration and Human Rights Clinic, University of the District of Columbia Law School

Laila Hlass, Professor of Practice, Tulane University Law School

Geoffrey Hoffman, Clinical Professor of Law, University of Houston Law Center

Kari Hong, Associate Professor, Boston College Law School
Erin Jacobsen, Professor of Law & Supervising Attorney, Vermont Immigrant Assistance Clinic, Vermont Law School

Michael Kagan, Joyce Mack Professor of Law, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Jacklyn Kelley-Widmer, Assistant Clinical Professor of Law, Cornell Law School

Kathy Khommarath, Staff Attorney, Program Manager & Adjunct Associate Professor, Removal Defense Clinic and Pro Bono Removal Defense Program, Southwestern Law School

Jennifer Lee Koh, Visiting Professor of Law, UC Irvine School of Law

Daniel M. Kowalski, Editor-in-Chief, Bender's Immigration Bulletin, LexisNexis

Hiroko Kusuda, Clinical Professor, Loyola New Orleans University College of Law

Ian M. Kysel, Visiting Assistant Clinical Professor of Law, Cornell Law School

Theo Liebmann, Managing Attorney, Hofstra Law Clinic

Beth Lyon, Clinical Professor of Law and Director, Farmworker Legal Assistance Clinic, Cornell Law School

Randi Mandelbaum, Distinguished Clinical Professor of Law and Director, Child Advocacy Clinic, Rutgers Law School

Fatma Marouf, Professor of Law & Director, Immigrant Rights Clinic, Texas A&M University School of Law

Karla McKanders, Clinical Professor of Law, Vanderbilt University Law School

Estelle M. McKee, Clinical Professor, Cornell Law School

Stephen Meili, Associate Professor of Law, University of Minnesota

Katie Herbert Meyer, Director, Washington University Immigration Law Clinic

Elora Mukherjee, Jerome L. Greene Clinical Professor of Law & Director, Immigrants’ Rights Clinic, Columbia Law School

Karen Musalo, Professor, Chair in International Law, U.C. Hastings

Jennifer Nagda, Lecturer in Law & Policy Director, Young Center for Immigrant Children’s Rights, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School
Natalie Nanasi, Assistant Professor, SMU Dedman School of Law

Marisol Orihuela, Clinical Associate Professor of Law, Yale Law School

Sarah Paoletti, Practice Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Carey School of Law

Nina Rabin, Director, Immigrant Family Legal Clinic, UCLA School of Law

Anam Rahman, Adjunct Professor at Law, Georgetown Law Center

Jayesh Rathod, Professor of Law, American University Washington College of Law

Sarah Rogerson, Professor of Law & Director, Immigration Law Clinic, Albay Law School

Rachel E. Rosenbloom, Professor of Law & Co-Director, Immigrant Justice Clinic, Northeastern University School of Law

Mario Russell, Director & Adjunct Professor, St. John’s Law School/Catholic Charities NY

Irene Scharf, Professor of Law & Director, Immigration Law Clinic, University of Massachusetts School of Law

Erica Schommer, Clinical Professor of Law, St. Mary’s University School of Law

Rachel Settlage, Director of Clinical Education, Wayne State Law School

Ragini Shah, Clinical Professor of Law, Suffolk University Law School

Sarah Sherman-Stokes, Associate Director, Immigrants’ Rights and Human Trafficking Program, Boston University School of Law

Anita Sinha, Associate Professor of Law, American University Washington College of Law

Elissa Steglich, Clinical Professor of Law & Co-Director, Immigration Clinic, University of Texas School of Law

Juliet Stumpf, Robert E. Jones Professor of Advocacy and Ethics, Lewis & Clark Law School

Claire R. Thomas, Director, Asylum Clinic, New York Law School

David B. Thronson, Alan S. Zekelman Professor of International Human Rights Law, Michigan State University College of Law
Veronica T. Thronson, Clinical Professor of Law and Director, MSU Law Clinic, Michigan State University College of Law

Philip L. Torrey, Director, Crimmigration Clinic & Managing Attorney, Harvard Immigration and Refugee Clinical Program, Harvard Law School

Michael Vastine, Professor of Law & Director, Immigration Clinic, St. Thomas University School of Law

Sheila I. Velez Martinez, Jack and Lovell Olender Professor of Asylum, Refugee and Immigration Law, University of Pittsburgh School of Law

Alexander Vernon, Director, Immigration Law Clinic, University of Detroit Mercy School of Law

Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia, Samuel Weiss Faculty Scholar and Clinical Professor of Law, Penn State Law-University Park

Katherine Kaufka Walts, Clinical Faculty of Law & Director, Center for Human Rights of Children, Loyola University Chicago School of Law

Deborah M. Weissman, Reef C. Ivey II Distinguished Professor of Law, University of North Carolina School of Law

Virgil Wiebe, Professor of Law & Immigration Clinic Director, St. Thomas University School of Law

Michael Wishnie, William O. Douglas Clinical Professor of Law, Yale Law School

Stephen Yale-Loehr, Professor of Immigration Law Practice, Cornell Law School

Beth Zilberman, Director, Immigration Clinic, University of Arkansas School of Law

cc: Matthew T. Albence
   Deputy Director and Senior Official
   Performing the Duties of the Director
   Immigration and Customs Enforcement
   U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Via Email
STANDING ORDER OF THE SAN ANTONIO IMMIGRATION COURT ANNEX

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the San Antonio Immigration Court Annex is implementing the below safety precautions until further notice:

1. In-person appearances in the courtroom are limited to the following individuals: Respondent, Respondent’s counsel, DHS counsel, Court interpreter, essential EOIR staff and security personnel. See ICPM § 4.9(a)(ii) (citing 8 C.F.R. § 1003.27(b)).

2. Video teleconferencing (“VTC”) will be utilized to the greatest extent possible, and any necessary witnesses will be allowed to appear by telephone. See ICPM § 4.7(b).

3. Limited exceptions to the above orders may be accommodated on a case-by-case basis and must be requested by written motion prior to the day of the hearing.

4. Any individual having business in person before the Court must notify the Court immediately by telephone if any of the following apply:
   a. The individual is displaying symptoms consistent with COVID-19 exposure
   b. The individual has been diagnosed with COVID-19
   c. The individual is pending results of a COVID-19 diagnostic test
   d. Within the past 14 days, the individual has had contact with anyone who has been diagnosed with COVID-19
   e. The individual has been asked to self-quarantine by local health authorities or a medical provider
   f. Within the past 14 days, the individual has been in a country with a Level 3 Public Health Notice (signifying widespread, ongoing transmission) or a country subject to a Level 3 or 4 Travel Advisory based on COVID-19

   No individual described in one of the above categories will be permitted into the EOIR court space.

Attorneys who would like to appear telephonically for a particular case should inform the Immigration Judge’s legal assistant in advance of the hearing by email and should provide the best phone number at which to be reached.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immigration Judge</th>
<th>Legal Assistant</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Tess Nye</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Tess.Nye@usdoj.gov">Tess.Nye@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossan</td>
<td>R.J. Reid</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Robert.J.Reid@usdoj.gov">Robert.J.Reid@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tijerina</td>
<td>Gilbert Mangold</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Gilbert.Mangold@usdoj.gov">Gilbert.Mangold@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrakoski</td>
<td>Alexia Lopez</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Alexia.Lopez@usdoj.gov">Alexia.Lopez@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date: March 19, 2020

Clay N. Martin
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Pearsall Immigration Court is implementing the below safety precautions until further notice:

1. In-person appearances in the courtroom are limited to the following individuals: Respondent, Respondent’s counsel, DHS counsel, Court interpreter, essential EOIR staff and security personnel. See ICPM § 4.9(a)(ii) (citing 8 C.F.R. § 1003.27(b)). No more than eight respondents will be allowed in the courtroom at any time.

2. Video teleconferencing (“VTC”) will be utilized to the greatest extent possible, and any necessary witnesses will be allowed to appear by telephone. See ICPM § 4.7(b).

3. Limited exceptions to the above orders may be accommodated on a case-by-case basis and must be requested by written motion prior to the day of the hearing.

4. Any individual having business in person before the Court must notify the Court immediately by telephone if any of the following apply:
   a. The individual is displaying symptoms consistent with COVID-19 exposure
   b. The individual has been diagnosed with COVID-19
   c. The individual is pending results of a COVID-19 diagnostic test
   d. Within the past 14 days, the individual has had contact with anyone who has been diagnosed with COVID-19
   e. The individual has been asked to self-quarantine by local health authorities or a medical provider

No individual described in one of the above categories will be permitted into the EOIR court space.

Attorneys who would like to appear telephonically for a particular case should inform the Immigration Judge’s legal assistant in advance of the hearing by email and should provide the best phone number at which to be reached. Supervisory Legal Assistant Alex Quintana should be cc’d on all such e-mails.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immigration Judge</th>
<th>Legal Assistant</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martinez</td>
<td>Linda Parsons</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Linda.Parsons@USDOJ.GOV">Linda.Parsons@USDOJ.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcorn</td>
<td>Laura Garza</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Laura.Garza@USDOJ.GOV">Laura.Garza@USDOJ.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulissen</td>
<td>Dantonio Fowler</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Dantonio.Fowler@USDOJ.GOV">Dantonio.Fowler@USDOJ.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKee</td>
<td>Diana Rios</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Diana.Rios@USDOJ.GOV">Diana.Rios@USDOJ.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory Legal Assistant</td>
<td>Alex Quintana</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Alejandro.Quintana@USDOJ.GOV">Alejandro.Quintana@USDOJ.GOV</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date: March 19, 2020

Clay N. Martin
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
STANDING ORDER OF THE ADELANTO IMMIGRATION COURT RELATING TO
TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES AT MASTER CALENDAR AND BOND HEARINGS

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all attorneys for all parties and qualified representatives
scheduled to appear for master calendar or bond hearings before the Adelanto Immigration Court
may appear telephonically, without the need to file a motion for telephonic appearance.

It is counsel’s responsibility to provide a telephonic number to the court staff where they
can be reached for the hearing and to be available for the court’s call. If the Court is unable to
reach counsel by telephone for the hearing, counsel will thereafter be required to appear in-
person at any scheduled hearing. For the duration of this order, parties may appear by cell phone
or land line.

Any documents which counsel wishes the Court to consider during the hearing must be
filed with the Court, and a copy received by opposing counsel or the pro se Respondent at least
**two business days** prior to the hearing.

This order shall remain in effect until rescinded by the Court.

Scott Laurent
Assistant Chief Immigration Judge
Adelanto, California