February 18, 2020

United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

## RE: CONGRESS MUST ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT IMMIGRATION COURT

Dear Member of Congress:

We, the undersigned international, national, state, and local immigration, civil rights, faith-based, government accountability, and labor organizations respectfully call on Congress to establish an immigration court system that is independent of the Department of Justice (DOJ) so that it can guarantee due process and a fair hearing for immigrants.

The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), which manages the Immigration Court and the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA), is currently housed under DOJ. While trial-level immigration prosecutors are housed under the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) within Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the Attorney General supervises the Office of Immigration Litigation (OIL) which defends immigration cases on behalf of the government in the circuit courts of appeals. This inherent conflict of interest is made worse by the fact that immigration judges are considered merely government attorneys, a classification that fails to recognize the significance of their judicial duties and put them at the whims of the Attorney General.

For years we have seen the detrimental effects of these politicized courts. Administrations have repeatedly made policy decisions not because they're efficient or legally sound, but because they're politically expedient. For example, in an attempt to achieve policy goals, administrations have manipulated and shuffled the court dockets to prioritize certain cases, moving cases to the back of the line and further growing the already enormous case backlog. For a long time, the courts were also drastically underresourced due to DOJ hiring freezes and competing funding priorities. The immigration courts now face crisis-level backlogs of over one million cases.

While the immigration courts have been plagued by systemic problems for a long time, the Trump administration has severely and irreparably undermined due process for the sole purpose of accelerating deportations. For example, DOJ imposed case-completion quotas, tying judges' individual performance reviews to the number of cases they complete.<sup>ii</sup> A strict timeframe for case completion interferes with a person's right to examine and present evidence, as well as to provide adequate time to find an attorney, secure expert witnesses, and obtain evidence from overseas. This kind of rushed, assembly-line justice is unacceptable to impose on judges who are making important, often life-or-death, decisions.<sup>iii</sup>

The Trump administration has also weaponized the certification authority, which allows the Attorney General to render precedent-setting immigration decisions. <sup>iv</sup> Under the previous administration, Attorneys General employed this power only four times over the course of eight years. <sup>v</sup> By contrast, the Trump administration has already certified twelve cases, with Attorneys General issuing precedent decisions that strike at the heart of a respondent's ability to have a full and fair hearing. <sup>vi</sup> Certification decisions have also taken aim at asylum-seekers, attempting to prevent people fleeing domestic violence and gang-based persecution from qualifying for asylum; permitting judges to deny hearings to asylum seekers without conducting a full evidentiary hearing; and limiting bond for asylum seekers. <sup>vii</sup>

We can no longer afford to have a system that can be so easily manipulated. We respectfully ask that Congress establish an independent court system that would restore due process and judicial independence. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Laura Lynch (<u>llynch@aila.org</u>, 202-507-7627).

Sincerely,

Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.

American Immigration Council

American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA)

Amnesty International USA

Capital Area Immigrants' Rights (CAIR) Coalition

Catholic Legal Immigration Network Inc.

Center for Gender & Refugee Studies

Center for Victims of Torture

Church World Service

Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA)

DC - MD Justice For Our Neighbors

Freedom for Immigrants

Freedom Network USA

Government Accountability Project

**HIAS** 

**Human Rights First** 

Human Rights Initiative of North Texas

**Human Rights Watch** 

Ignatian Solidarity Network

**Immigration Equality** 

Innovation Law Lab

International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers

InterReligious Task Force On Central America and Colombia

Justice for Our Neighbors Michigan

Kids in Need of Defense

Las Americas Immigrant Advocacy Center

Latin America Working Group (LAWG)

Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service

National Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd

National Council of Jewish Women

National Immigrant Justice Center

National Immigration Law Center

National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)

National Justice for Our Neighbors

National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights

NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice

New York City Bar Association

Northern Illinois Justice for Our Neighbors

Ohio Immigrant Alliance

Project On Government Oversight

Refugees International

Round Table of Former Immigration Judges

San Antonio Region Justice For Our Neighbors

Santa Fe Dreamers Project

Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

Sisters of Mercy of the Americas Justice Team

South Texas Human Rights Center

SPLC Action Fund

The Florence Immigrant & Refugee Rights Project

The Legal Clinic

Unitarian Universalist Service Committee

United We Dream

Women's Refugee Commission

Young Center for Immigrant Children's Rights

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> DOJ's Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), Memorandum from James McHenry, Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review on Immigration Judge Performance Metrics to All Immigration Judges (Mar. 30, 2018), <a href="https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics">https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics</a>; see also National Association of Immigration Judges, Imposing Quotas on Immigration Judges will Exacerbate the Case Backlog at Immigration Courts, (Jan. 31, 2018), <a href="https://www.naij-">https://www.naij-</a>

- 18. .pdf; EOIR's Strategic Caseload Reduction Plan (Oct. 23, 2017), https://www.aila.org/EOIRplan.
- ii EOIR, Memorandum from James McHenry, Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review on Immigration Judge Performance Metrics to All Immigration Judges (Mar. 30, 2018), <a href="https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics">https://www.aila.org/infonet/eoir-memo-immigration-judge-performance-metrics</a>; see also National Association of Immigration Judges, Imposing Quotas on Immigration Judges will Exacerbate the Case Backlog at Immigration Courts, (Jan. 31, 2018), <a href="https://www.naij-usa.org/images/uploads/publications/NAIJ Imposing Quotas on IJs will Exacerbate the Court Backlog 1-31-18..pdf">https://www.naij-usa.org/images/uploads/publications/NAIJ Imposing Quotas on IJs will Exacerbate the Court Backlog 1-31-18..pdf</a>; EOIR's Strategic Caseload Reduction Plan (Oct. 23, 2017), <a href="https://www.aila.org/EOIRplan">https://www.aila.org/EOIRplan</a>.
- iii INA §240(b)(4)(B) requires that a respondent be given a "reasonable opportunity" to examine and present evidence. *See* AILA Policy Brief: Imposing Numeric Quotas on Judges Threatens the Independence and Integrity of Courts (Oct. 12, 2017), <a href="https://www.aila.org/ijquotas#PDF">https://www.aila.org/ijquotas#PDF</a>.
- $^{iv}$  8 U.S.C. § 1103(g)(2) ("The Attorney General shall establish such regulations . . . [and] review such administrative determinations in immigration proceedings . . . .").
- <sup>v</sup> Sophie Murguia and Kanyakrit Vongkiatkajorn, Jeff Sessions Is Executing Trump's Immigration Plans With a Quiet, Efficient Brutality, MOTHER JONES (Sept. 7, 2018), <a href="https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2018/09/jeff-sessions-is-executing-trumps-immigration-plans-with-a-quiet-efficient-brutality/">https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2018/09/jeff-sessions-is-executing-trumps-immigration-plans-with-a-quiet-efficient-brutality/</a>.
- vi Matter of CASTRO-TUM, 27 I&N Dec. 271 (A.G. 2018); Matter of S-O-G- & F-D-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 462 (A.G. 2018); Matter of L-A-B-R- et al., 27 I&N Dec. 405 (A.G. 2018); Matter of E-F-H-L-, 27 I&N Dec. 226 (A.G. 2018); Matter of M-G-G-, 27 I&N Dec. 475 (A.G. 2018); Matter of A-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 316 (A.G. 2018); Matter of NEGUSIE, 27 I&N Dec. 481 (A.G. 2018); Matter of L-E-A-, 27 I&N Dec. 581 (A.G. 2019); Matter of M-S-, 27 I&N Dec. 509 (A.G. 2019); Matter of REYES, 27 I&N Dec. 708 (A.G. 2019); Matter of THOMAS and THOMPSON, 27 I&N Dec. 674 (A.G. 2019); Matter of CASTILLO-PEREZ, 27 I&N Dec. 664 (A.G. 2019).
- vii Matter of A-B-, 27 I&N Dec. 316 (A.G. 2018); Matter of E-F-H-L-, 27 I&N Dec. 226 (A.G. 2018); and Matter of M-G-G-, 27 I&N Dec. 475 (A.G. 2018).